

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/694,116	10/27/2003	Shusasku Okamoto	MAT-8478US	2586	
22.22	7590 09/11/2007		EXAMINER		
RATNERPRESTIA P O BOX 980			MCCLOUD, RENATA D		
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2837		
		·			
	ı		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				13/
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/694,116	OKAMOTO ET A	AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Renata McCloud	2837	
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communor Reply	ication appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence a	address
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N ensions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALLING DATE OF THIS CO of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe nunication. atutory period will apply and will expire so will, by statute, cause the application to	MMUNICATION. ver, may a reply be timely filed  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 16 July 2007		
•	•	2b)⊠ This action is non-fina	II.	
′—	Since this application is in condition	<i>'</i> —		he merits is
	closed in accordance with the pract			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 11,12,18 and 20-22 is/are  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 11,12,18,20-22 is/are reject  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from considerated.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.		•
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ obj	ected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any obje	•, .	•	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	·	<del>-</del> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	documents have been rece documents have been rece of the priority documents ha onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	ived. ived in Application No ive been received in this Nationa (a)).	al Stage
Attachme	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
3) Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲	Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- Claims 11,12,18,20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to 2. comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitations "determining a direction of and external force", and "in said direction" are new matter.
- 3. Claims 11,12,18,20-22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Applicant's original disclosure does not disclose, "determining a direction of an external force". The disclosure only discloses determining an external force

Application/Control Number: 10/694,116 Page 3

Art Unit: 2837

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 11,20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Graham (US 5200679).

Claims 11, 20: an apparatus/method comprising determining a direction of an external force acting upon an object (releasing/delivery direction = opening direction or holding/non-delivering direction= closing direction); an object (fig. 5: 71) grasping unit (fig. 1:11; col. 2:63-3:8) an external force detecting section (col. 2: 63-3:8); a determining unit (20 or 80) for determining when the force the said direction is equal to or greater than a threshold, (col. 3: 24-41,57-60; col. 7:40-57, col. 8:59-64) if the change in force in said direction is due to a first condition which is delivery of the object ((col. 3: 24-41,57-60; col. 7:40-56, 8:9-31, releasing= delivering) or a second condition which is non-delivery of the object (col. 8:33-55, holding the object = non- delivery); a grasp-force control section (44) outputting a grasp-force relaxing signal for releasing the object when the change in force in said direction is due to the first condition (col. 7:40-56, 8:9-31, releasing the object delivers the object) and a grasp force strengthening signal for strengthening a grasp force of the object when a change in the external force in said direction is due to the second condition (col. 7:58-8:31 holding the object).

Application/Control Number: 10/694,116 Page 4

Art Unit: 2837

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 12,21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Graham in view of Andeen et al (US4637736) or Hill et al (US 3904234).

Claims 12, 21: Graham teaches the determining unit determines that the change in the external force is due to a request for releasing the grasped object (col. 3: 24-41,57-60; col. 7:53-8:31, releasing= delivering) when the change in the external force is equal to or greater than the predetermined threshold (col. 3: 24-41,57-60; col. 7:40-57, col. 8:59-64), releases the grasped object when the change in the external force is determined to be due to the request for releasing the grasped object (col. 7:65-8:31). Graham does not teach determining, after releasing the grasped object, that the change in the external force is due to 1) the first condition which is the delivery of the grasped object when a dynamic frictional force in a gravity direction caused by a downward movement of the grasped object is not detected or 2) the second condition which is the non-delivery of the grasped object when the dynamic frictional force in the gravity direction caused by the downward movement of the grasped object is detected.

Andeen et al teach determining, after releasing the grasped object, that the change in the external force is due to a the first condition which is the delivery of the grasped object when a dynamic frictional force in a gravity direction caused by a downward movement of the grasped object is not detected or 2) the second condition which is the non-delivery of the grasped object when the dynamic frictional force in the gravity direction caused by the downward movement of the grasped object is detected (col. 5:3-23, fig. 4:112, determining slippage).

Application/Control Number: 10/694,116 Page 5

Art Unit: 2837

Hill et al teach determining, after releasing the grasped object, that the change in the external force is due to a the first condition which is the delivery of the grasped object when a

dynamic frictional force in a gravity direction caused by a downward movement of the grasped object is not detected or 2) the second condition which is the non-delivery of the grasped object

, , ,

when the dynamic frictional force in the gravity direction caused by the downward movement of

the grasped object is detected (col. 2:29-45, determining slippage). It would have been obvious

to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus

taught by Graham to determine a slip taught by Andeen et al or Hill et al, in order to prevent

slippage of the grasped object.

8. Claims 18 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Graham in view of Chao et al (US 5847529) or Hollbrooks (US 6692049)

Claims 18,22: Graham teaches the limitations of claims 11 and 20. Referring to claims

18 and 22, Graham does not teach attention is called to an outside when the grasp force signal

for releasing the object is outputted. Chao et al teach in a case of releasing the grasping force,

an alarm is issued to an operator/outside (col. 1:20-28, 1:62-2:5). Hollbrooks teaches in a case

of releasing the grasping force, an alarm is issued for inspection/outside (col.11: 37-60). It would

have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

modify the apparatus taught by Graham to strengthen the grip as taught by Chao et al or

Hollbrooks, in order to indicate that the manipulator is in need of repair.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2837

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Renata McCloud whose telephone number is (571) 272-2069. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.- Fri. from 5:30 am - 2pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lincoln Donovan can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext. 37. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Renata McCloud Examiner Art Unit 2837

rdm